

Appl. No. 09/778,652
Atty. Docket No. 9116-392 (P&G Case 8435L)
Amtd. dated 06/28/2005
Reply to Office Action of 04/20/2005
Customer No. 27752

REMARKS

Various claims are rejected over Kenet et al. (US 5,836,872) in view of various secondary references.

Claim 1 is amended to recite that the first reference image comprises only a red color component, and that the second image comprises both green and blue color components. Bases are found in Claims 17-18, which are canceled accordingly, and in Claim 20. Claims 17-18 and 20 were previously examined. No new search is required.

The claimed arrangement provides a specific and unique sequence for capturing magnified images. The two captured images are later superimposed as required by the claim.

In contrast, Kenet teaches the use of various color components. To expedite prosecution, one could assume arguenda that Kenet even teaches capturing a scalar color component. Even then, Kenet, taken alone or in combination, does not teach the specific sequence of capturing a red image, then a blue/green image as recited by Claims 1 and 20 and the claims dependent therefrom.

Using first a red color component, then using both the blue and green color components provides an advantageous sequence for capturing the digital images. This claimed sequence simply does not occur in the prior art and is not obvious from the prior art.


The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and allow all claims remaining in the application.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By _____

Signature


Larry L. Huston

Typed or Printed Name

Registration No. 32,994

(513) 634-9358

June 28, 2005

Customer No. 27752